PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				9.3/10	REC'D 13 J		
To:				UN	PC WIPO	PCT	
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
Inter	International application No. International filing date PCT/EP2005/050882 01.03.2005			day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23.04.2004		
			both national classification	and IPC			
	B33/107						
Applicant							
1	GUSSA AG						
1.	This opinion co	ntains indicat	ions relating to the fol	lowing items:			
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the o					
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inven	itive step and industrial applic	ability	
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity	of invention				
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned sta applicability;	atement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> citations and explanation	is.1(a)(i) with regard as supporting such st	to novelty, inventive step or in tatement	ndustrial	
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docui					
	☐ Box No. VII		ts in the international ap			•	
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain obse	rvations on the internation	onal application			
2.	FURTHER ACT						
	If a demand for International preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
	For further optic	ons, see Form	PCT/ISA/220.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
Na	ame and mailing addr	ess of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	r	advices as the money	
-	Furopean	n Patent Office		Morney			
_	D-80298		523656 epmu d	Werner, H	45 50 0000 CTT4		
-	Fax: +49	89 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +4	19 89 2399-8571	Ollice europe	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050882

	Вох	No	. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		lang	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. ty	ре о	of material:				
		J :	a sequence listing				
	[J .	table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:						
	נ	ם	in written format				
	[in computer readable form				
	c. ti	me (of filing/furnishing:				
	[contained in the international application as filed.				
	[filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	[furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		has	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.				
4.	. Additional comments:						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050882

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No:

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/050882

Re Item V.

The present application claims a method for preparing trichlorsilane from silicon tetrachloride using a metal or metal salt catalyst selected from the elements of main group 2 of the periodic table of the elements.

The present process avoids using toxic heavy metals as catalysts and reduces sintering of the catalyst. Furthermore, the catalyst shows an above-average stability in respect of deactivation.

The closest prior art is represented by DE 41 08 614 A1. This document discloses claims a method for preparing trichlorsilane from silicon tetrachloride using a metal-free or metal-containing Si-rich microporous body. Toxic heavy metals as catalysts are not used. Using a metal or metal salt catalyst selected from the elements of main group 2 of the periodic table of the elements is not disclosed. The problem of catalyst sintering is not dealt with.

Further document cited in the search report:

DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 198921 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class E36, AN 1989-156694 XP002329082 &; JP 01 100011 A disclosing a method for preparing trichlorsilane from silicon tetrachloride using a platinum group metal as catalyst. The problem of catalyst sintering is not dealt with.

The present application claims a non-obvious alternative method for preparing trichlorsilane from silicon tetrachloride with improved catalyst stability. Therefore, inventivity is justified.